UNCLASSIFIED

AD 406 265

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Report No. 8926-172

Material - Nickel Base Alloy - Hastelloy R-235 (Haynes Stellite Co.)

Spot Weld Strengths

(An Abstract Report)

Abstract:

Cleaning procedures, spot welding parameter survey data, and data indicating strengthening resulting from cold work and aging are presented with respect to 0.020" and 0.040" thick Hastelloy R-235 nickel base alloy. The following data, representative of the use of optimized cleaning and spot welding procedures, compare the effects from cold work and aging treatments used to strengthen 0.020" thick Hastelloy R-235 sheet.

	Tensile	Cross	T.S.1
	Shear	Tention	C.T.
Material Condition	Strength	Strength	Ratio
Mill anneal,* weld	810 lb/spot	37 lb/spot	.11
Mill anneal, weld, age**	587	77	.13
10% cold work, weld**	1102	659	.60
10% cold work, weld, age**	683	207	.30

^{* 1975°}F, water quench ** 1550°F, 2 hours, air cool

Reference: Alesch, C. W., 'Material - Nickel Base Alloy -Hastelloy R-235 (Haynes Stellite Co.). Spot Weld Strengths (An Abstract Report); General Dynamics/ Convair Report 8926-172, San Diego, California, 19 April 1963. (Reference attached).

A . . .

PAGE 1 REPORT NO. 8926-172

Report No. 8926-172

Material - Nickel Base Alloy - Hastelloy R-235 (Haynes Stellite Co.)

Spot Weld Strengths

(An Abstract Report)

Reference: Vermilyea, E. J., Green, E. D., Carr, W. L., Margitan, E., "Fabricability of Materials R-235, L-605, Rene 41, M-252 and J-1650 as Pertaining to Part No. 8-26054." General Dynamics/Convair Report AMR-PR 889, San Diego, California, April 1961.

Cleaning Method

Haynes Stellite Co., Hastelloy R-235 0.040" thick, mill annealed (1975°F) sheet was cleaned, in preparation for spot welding according to the schedule given in Table 1. In the cleaning tests, a satisfactory range of immersion times in the hydrofluoric acid - nitric acid pickling solution was sought. Two criteria were used to judge pickling solution times; (1) the incidence of surface roughness upon and intergranular corrosive attack within the sheet, and (2) the tensile shear and cross-tension strengths of spot welds. The spot welding schedule used is given in Table 2. No surface roughening or corrosive attack resulted from 1 to 5 minutes pickling of annealed Hastelloy R-235 in the hydrofluoric acid - nitric acid mixture. The results of spot welding tests are shown in Table 3. These tests showed that weld strengths optimized with respect to strength and range of strengths in individual spot welds when 1 to 2 minutes of immersion in the pickling bath was used for welding preparation.

Supplementary cleaning tests with 0.020" thick mill annealed (1950°F, water quenched) Hastelloy R-235 which was aged at 1500°F for 2 hours showed that the material was subject to intergranular corrosion attack when cleaned according to the Table 1 schedule.

Spot Welding Parameters

Using the cleaning schedule established as described, and the Table 2 spot welding schedule as a basis, the effects of variations in electrode pressures, welding currents, and electrode radius upon spot weld strengths were determined with mill annealed 0.020" and 0.040" thick Hastelloy R-235 sheet. The results of these tests are given in Table 4 and show

Relatively high electrode pressures are required to forge spot weld nuggets of R-235. Tests showed that spot welds in 0.020" thick material optimized at 2000 pounds pressure. The higher

GIIIIIID GENERAL DYNAMICS | CONVAIR

MODEL DATE

PAGE 2 REPORT NO. 8926-172

pressures resulted in larger nugget diameters which tended to increase tensile-shear strengths; but these greater pressures reduced interface resistance which decreased nugget penetration and resulted in low cross-tension strengths.

- 2. As the welding current (amperage) was increased, the tension-shear and cross-tension strengths both increased. Nugget diameters were not significantly changed but nugget penetration increased. The high electrode pressure used optimized tensile-shear strength but did not optimize the tensile-shear to cross-tension strength ratio and this indicated need for lower welding pressure.
- 3. Of the three electrode radii used, the relatively flat electrodes produced higher tensile-shear and cross-tension strengths.

Effects of Heat Treatment and Cold Work on Weld Strengths

Table 5 shows results obtained by varying the sequencing of welding within the heat treating sequence. These results show that optimum spot weld strengths are realized with annealed material (0.040" thick Hastelloy R-235). Welding followed by aging results in reductions in cross-tension strengths which result in tensile-shear to cross-tension ratios of 34% which contrast with the 48% optimum observed with annealed material. Aging followed by heat treatment results in reduction of tensile-shear strength from 2100 lb. with annealed and welded material to 1964 lb. with the aged material. Aging followed by heat treatment, however, tends to preserve ductility as the 46% tensile-shear to cross-tension strength ratio indicates.

Table 6 data compares weld strengths obtained with cold worked and non-cold worked 0.020" thick Hastelloy R-235 sheet when aging is omitted and when welding just precedes aging. These data show that optimum weld strengths are developed in material which is 10% cold worked, welded, and left unaged.

Prepared by C. W. Alesch 19 April 1963

PAGE 3 REPORT NO. 3926-172

Table 1

Cleaning Method for Annealed R-235

Operation	Cleaning Components	Temp °F	Time (Min.)
Vapor Degrease	Stabilized Tri- chlorethylene (per Mil-T-7003)	180 - 195	1
Alkaline Clean	Oakite 61A, 5-6 ounces per gallon	150 - 180	5
Hot Water Rinse	Steam Condensate	130 - 180	1
Pickle	1.5-20% Hydrofluoric Acid, 25-35% Nitric Acid	Room Temp.	Varied
Water Rinse	Tap Water	Room Temp.	1
Dry	Hot Air	120 - 150	2

Table 2

Welding Schedule for Annealed R-235

Amperes	9100	
Weld Time	9	cycles
Weld Impulses	3	
Weld Pressure	4000	
Preheat Amps	5600	amps
Preheat Impulses	3	
Cool Cycles	0.5	
Squeeze Cycles	21	
Hold Cycles	24	

Table 3 Cleaning Evaluation of Annealed R-235 For Resistance Spot Welding

						Averages		Range	ge
Conpon	Cond.	Gage	Tensile-	Cross-	Tensile	Cross	c. T.	Tensile	Cross
Š		inches	Shear	Tension	-shear	Tension	T.S.	Shear	Tension
			(lb.)	(lb.)	(lb.)	(lb.)	%	(Ib.)	(lb.)
Group I.	Alkaline	Alkaline Bath only	ly						
-	Ann.	.040.	2050	1500	2162	1214	26.0	170	610
8	:	:	2220	+700					
ო	=	:	2150	890			,		
4	=	=	2175	1125					
5	11	:	2215	1340					
Group II.	Alkaline	Bath a	nd 1 Minute in HF & HNO3 Pickle	HF & HNO3 I	Pickle				
9	:	:	2050	1095	2002	1028	49.2	100	280
2	ε.	:	2055	*					
∞	:	:	2090	905					
6	=	:	2115	1185			# 2		
10			2150	925					
Group III.	Alkaline Bath	~	ind 2 Minute in HF & HNO3		Pickle				
11	= .	:	2150	+820	2136	1163	54.5	75	350
12	=	=	2130	096					
13	=	:	2175	1310					
14		=	2125	1120					
15	:	:	2100	+755					
Group IV.	Alkalir	Alkaline Bath a	and 5 Minutes in HF & HNO3 Pickle	in HF & HNO	3 Pickle				
16	=	=	1995	855	2031	1100	54.2	180	425
17	=	Ε	2155	1285					
18	=	=	1975	1175					
19	:	=	+1485	905			•		
20	:	=	2000	1280					
* Destroyed in Testing	ed in Te	sting							
+ Nugget	Expulsion	n (Not us	+ Nugget Expulsion (Not used in averages)	(S:					,
				-					
				A-marine and a second a second and a second					

. O O N Y A I R -Sea Stage

Table 4

					A Mar	1	por werd	ng Amperage	. Electrone	Pressure a	MI MIDINE	contes				
			MACH	NE SETTINGS		ELEC	TRODE			MIN.				AVERA	DE .	
CON- DITION	QAGE (DICHES)	AMPS	TIME CYCLES	ELECTRODE PRESS. (LB)	NO. OF WELD DAP.	RWMA CLASS	POINT GEO. BOTH ELECS.	AVE. NUGGET DIA. (INCHES)	Tensile Shear (LB)	TENSILE SHEAR REQ'S MIL W 6856 (LB)	CROSS TENSION (LB)	CT %	TENSILE SHEAR (LB)	TENSILE SHEAR REQ'S MIL W A658 (LB)	CROSS TENSION (LB)	CT
Electrod	e Pressure	Study														
ANN.	. 020"	8400	•	2000		m	9" R	'	620		434	70			\ \	1
ANN.	.020"	8400	•	2000	•	m	6" R	0.106	740	880	280	38	701	458	364	52
ANN.	. 680"	8408	•	. 3600 .		m	6" R		724	:	377	62				1
					١.,	Ì										1
ANN.	. 680"	8400	•	· 2500		m	6" R		654		160	25				
ANN.	.080"	8400	•	2800	•	m	6" R	0.180	650	530	120	16	650	465	133	20
ANN.	. 020"	8400	•	2800	'	III.	6" R		674		120	16		}		1
ANN.	.080"	8400		2000		m	4" R		774		140	18				İ
ANN.	.020"	8400		8000	;		6" R	0. 160	880	630	100	12	799	656	110	14.
ANN.	.020"	8400		. 8000		m	6" R	0.100	773		90	12		}	1	1
				,								1			ł	
<i>.</i> .	. 080"	8400	•	8800 ,		m	4" R		684		87	13			İ	
ANN.	. 030"	8400	•	2800	3	m	6" R	0. 170	656	580	95	14	660	455	79	12
ANN.	. 020"	8400	•	3500		m	6" R		670		67	10			1	1
Amperag	e Studies				. '				ĺ	1	1		1		1	1
ANN.	. 020°	1700	•	8000	3	m	4" R		628		45	•]			1
ANN.	.020"	1700	•	3000	•	ш	6" R	0 190	640	530	85	13	643	656	77	12
ANN.	. 020"	7700	•	3000	•	ш	6" R		660		82	12	ł	:		ľ
									630	 	91	١.,	}		1	1
ANN.	. 080"	8400	•	3000	*	III	6" R 6" R	0. 180	644	830	108	14	646	455	104	16
ANN.	. 030"	8400	;	3000		111	6" R	0.160	670		114	17	"	""		"
APR.		*****	•	2000	•		• •		•	i] -		1		1
ANN.	.020**	9100		3000		, m	6" R		894	}	124	18				
ANN.	. 020"	9100		3000	,	111	6" R	0. 190	698	530	135	19	606	656	122	16
ANN.	. 020"	9100	•	3000	3	m	6" R		696		108	15		1		1
Floresode	 Radius Sto	dies							l	ŀ		İ				
ANN.	. 040"	9100	•	4000		m	PLAT		2080	1	1500	73				
ANN.	.040"	9100	•	4000	,	m	FLAT	9.180	2220	1460	700	31	2140	1800	1017	40
ANN.	. 040"	9100		4000		m	FLAT		2180		850	40		1		
					·					j					1	
ANN.	. 040"	9100	•	4000	3	п	4" R		1890	1	905	48		1	}	
ANN.	. 040"	9100	•	4000	3	ti i	6" R	0.180	2036	1460	1185	57	2000	1800	1005	50
ANN.	. 040"	9190	•	4000	3	m	6" R		2088		· 925	44	ĺ	1		
1										l		1	1			
ANN	. 840**	9100	•	4000	3	111	4" R		1930		755	20		1		
1	. 040"	9100	•	4000	3	iti	4" R	0 180	2010	1460	855	42	1990	1900	965	48
	. 040"	9100	•	4000		· m	4" R	1	2040	1	1285	63	1	1	1	1

	Cross-Tension	to Tensile	Shear Ratio	48%				34%				46%			
R-235	ts		Avg.	1010				771				868			
ot Welded	Cross Tension Results	Age	Weld									2 6	955	870	825
stance Spo	ross Tens	Weld	Age					865	760	720	740				
y for Resi	o	As	Rec'd.	906	925	1115	1095								
ions Stud	S		Avg.	2101				2291				1964			
Table 5. Welding Conditions Study for Resistance Spot Welded R-235	Tensile Shear Results	Age	Then Weld Then Age Then Weld									1840	1990	1940	2085
e 5. Wel	fensile Sh	Weld	Then Age					2290	2305	2335	2235				
Tab]	L	Anneal	Then Weld	2050	2090	2115	2150								
		Trohog	menes	0,040		ŧ		0.040	:	:	:	0.040	:	2	=
		No No	140.	1	83	က	4	s	9	2	œ	o,	10	11	12

Table 6. Tensile Results of Resistance Spot Welded R-235

					
Standard Seviations	Cross	27.2	29.9	16.6	59.4
Standard Deviations	Tensile Shear	44.7	232.3	23.6	203.8
Cross	to Tensile Shear Ratio	11%	13%	809	30%
	Avg.	87	77	659	207
Results	C.W. Weld Then Age				140 250 230
Cross Tension Results	10% C.W. Then Weld			675 650 670 640	
Cross T	Weld Then Age		45 86 99 110 47		
	Anneal Then Weld	55 110 60 97 111			
	Avg.	810	587	1102	683
esults 10%	C. W. Weld Then Age				870 716 463
Tensile Shear Results	10% C. W. Then Weld			1120 1100 1120 1070	
Tensile	Weld Then Age		400 736 283 697 820		
	Anneal Then Weld	800 740 830 820 860			
	Inches	0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020	0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020	0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020	0.020 0.020 0.020
(No.	A1 2 2 4 3 3 5	B1 2 3 5	D1 8 8	E1